

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/991,561	11/21/2001	Mclody Vos	5253-03800	3520
29855	7590 10/29/2004	EXAMINER		
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI,			RONES, CHARLES	
P.C. 20333 SH 249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600	rv 77070	2165	10	
HOUSTON, 1	17 //0/0		DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
•		09/991				
. O	Office Action Summary	Exami		VOS ET AL.		
	,			Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this commu		Rones	2164		
Period fo			and dovor onect with the	ion coponacinos address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to tee to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tirestatutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 29 June 2004	Į.			
·	,	2b)⊠ This action is	=			
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte</i>	<i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific of the same of the specific o	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations the attached detailed Office actions.	or documents have be or documents have be of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)			TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/991,561

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on June 29, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Double Patenting

- 2. Claims 1-39 of this application conflict with claims 1-36 of Application No. 09/990,583.
- 3. Claims 1-39 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-36 of copending Application No. 09/990,583. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/991,561

Art Unit: 2164

4. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent. *In re Vogel*, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).

Claims 1-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of Vos et al., U.S. Patent Application No. 09/990,583. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are broader than claims 1-36 of Vos et al. '583 which encompasses the same metes, bounds, and limitations.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed.Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re
Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/991,561

Art Unit: 2164

Page 4

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Rones whose telephone number is 703-306-3030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Rones
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2164

October 14, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CSETS 1 2100